

NED University of Engineering & Technology Department of Civil Engineering

CE-409 Final Year Design Project

Grading of Semester 1 (7th/Fall Semester) Progress (Weightage - 10%)

| Project Title: | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| S. No | Student Name | Seat No. | I Intellectual Contribution | II Attendance | III Coherence with group | IV Response to Questions | Weighted Average Score |
| | | | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (20) |
| | | | PLO-2 | PLO-8 | PLO-9 | PLO-10 | |
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | ` <u> </u> | | | |

Use Rubric FYDP-OBE-02 for each student.

Average percentage score from the rubrics filled by supervisor and examiner shall be placed in the above table.

Weighted Average Score = [PLO-2 (%)*5+ PLO-8 (%)*5+ PLO-9 (%)*5+ PLO-10 (%)*5]/100

Project ID: _____

| |
|------------------------|
| FYDP Supervisor |

NED University of Engineering & Technology Department of _____ Engineering

< FYDP Course Code Final Year Design Project

Rubric for Semester 1 (7th/Fall Semester) Progress (Weightage - 10%)

| Project ID: | Student Name: | Seat No.: |
|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | |

| | Levels of Attainment (%) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Unacceptable (0) | Just acceptable (25) | Average (50) | Good (75) | Excellent (100) |
| I Intellectual Contribution | Doesn't contribute to the project work and does not show understanding of the different project attributes. | Barely participates in the project activities and shows some understanding of the different project attributes. | Contributes in some activities of the project and shows reasonable understanding of the different project attributes. | Contributes effectively in majority of the project activities and has good understanding of the complex project | |
| II Attendance | To be taken from Attendance Proforma maintained by the Supervisor | | | | |
| III Coherence with group | Non-cooperative. | Rarely contributes in group discussions and not a good team member. | Sometimes contributes useful ideas in group discussions and a satisfactory group member. | Usually provides useful ideas in group discussions and a good group member who tries hard. | Routinely provides useful ideas in group discussions and a definite leader who contributes a lot of effort. |
| IV Response to Questions | Neither understands the question, nor could reply. | Adequate understanding of the question and reply. | Seemed to understand the main points of the question and replied to those with ease. | Clearly understood the question and replied with ease. | * |

| FYDP | Supervisor | |
|------|------------|--|